



120

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS: Joseph E. Herceg, James G. Saiveau, Lubomir Krajtl

SERIAL NO.: 10/637,088

FILED: 08/05/2003

TITLE: CONTINUOUS PROCESS ELECTROREFINER

EXAMINER: Harry D. Wilkins III

GROUP: 1742

DOE NO.: S-100120

CUSTOMER NUMBER: 31970

**\*31970\***  
**31970**  
PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

AMENDMENT

Commissioner of Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear sir:

In response to the Office Action of November 7, 2005, Applicants affirm their election of 9-19. All inventors remain inventions with respect to the elected claims.

Please amend claims 9, 10, 12-17 and cancel claim 11. Please add new claims 20-26.

REMARKS

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. 112

Claim 9 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification does not cover the means plus function language of the claim.

Claim 9 has been amended to recite the structure described in the specification. 'A hopper ...' has been added. Support is on page 13, line 15.